

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/410,504	10/01/1999		JAMES HEDLEY WILKINSON	450110-02215	6958
20999	7590	06/24/2004		EXAMINER	
		NCE & HAUG	CZEKAJ, DAVID J		
	FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. V YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2613	
				DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			. 1					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/410,504	WILKINSON ET A	L.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Dave Czekaj	2613						
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sh	neet with the correspondence ad	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however oly within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to be	, may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 h	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 May 2004.							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
,								
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 193	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	awn from consideration							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 October 1999</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	7, ,	* *					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been receive ts have been receive prity documents have au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application No been received in this National).	Stage					
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		erview Summary (PTO-413)						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date) . 5) 🔲 Not	per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Application (PTC ter:)-152) 					

Application/Control Number: 09/410,504 Page 2

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 1. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 25, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujii et al. (5966385), (hereinafter referred to as "Fujii") in view of Eidson (6278710).

Regarding claims 1 and 25, Fujii discloses an apparatus that filters TS packets multiplexed with a plurality of programs and sends the filtered packets to a decoder. This apparatus comprises an encoder that comprises a "clock and means for deriving timing information relating to the digital signal from the clock" (Fujii: column 1, lines 14-23, column 2, lines 1-4, wherein the timing information is the PCR value). The data signal further comprises "data blocks, each data block including a header containing data relating to the block and a plurality of slots, each slot having a slot header relating to the slot and data packet" (Fujii: column 1, lines 14-23 and 63-64, figure 3A, wherein the data blocks are formed from a coding procedure and the data blocks are contained in the TS packet and

payload, column 2, lines 5-22 and figure 3B, wherein the PES packet is the slot, the PES header is the slot header, and the data packet is the program element), "plurality of data packets containing a first part and subsequent parts of the signal" (Fujii: column 2, lines 1-22, figures 3A-3B, wherein the data packet is the program element), "a first slot including the first part of the signal and a reference time defining the time of production of the first part and each subsequent slot containing a subsequent part of the signal and timing information defining a time relative to a reference time" (Fujii: column 2, lines 1-22, figures 3A-B, wherein the PES packet is the slot, the time information is the PCR and system clock), and "means configured to derive from the clock a reference time defining the time of production of the first part, the timing information defines the times of productions of the subsequent parts" (Fujii: column 2, lines 1-22, figures 3A-3B, wherein Fuiii discloses using the PCR values to obtain the system or reference clock which defines the whole packet consisting of the first and subsequent parts). However, this apparatus lacks providing timing information of each packet relative to other packets as claimed. Eidson teaches that reducing jitter can be accomplished by ignoring packets that have a delay greater than a minimum determined delay, wherein the delay is calculated by comparing the timing data between packets (Eidson: column 5, lines 45-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to take the apparatus disclosed by Fujii and add the timing

information between packets taught by Eidson in order to obtain an apparatus that minimizes jitter.

Regarding claim 34, Fujii discloses that the "slots of each block are of fixed length and have predetermined positions in the block" (Fujii: column 1, lines 60-65 and figures 3A-B, wherein the slots of the block have a fixed size of 188 bytes and have a position show in figures 3A-B).

1. Claims 2-8 and 26-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujii et al. (5966385), (hereinafter referred to as "Fujii") in view of Eidson (6278710) in further view of O'Grady (6195392).

Regarding claims 2 and 26, note the examiners rejection for claims 1 and 25, and in addition, claims 2 and 26 differ from claims 1 and 25 in that claims 2 and 26 further require coarse and fine timing information. O'Grady teaches that current methods of generating PCR's contain expensive components. O'Grady discloses an apparatus that eliminates the need for these expensive components presently necessary in the state of the art PCR generators (O'Grady: column 1, line 66 to column 2, line 9). This apparatus comprises producing "coarse timing info" (O'Grady: column 6, lines 30-48, wherein the coarse timing info is the result of the pixel frequency dividing by an integer m) and "fine timing info" (wherein the fine timing info is the program clock reference value base and extension). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to take the apparatus disclosed by Fujii, add the timing information between packets taught by Eidson, and add the PCR

Application/Control Number: 09/410,504

Art Unit: 2613

generation method taught by O'Grady in order to obtain an apparatus that cost effectively encodes timing information into data blocks.

Regarding claim 3, O'Grady discloses an apparatus that receives "a clock signal, a modulo n counter which counts the clock signal and divides the clock signal frequency by n to produce the fine time information and a modulo m counter which counts the frequency divided clock signal produced by the modulo n counter, to produce the coarse time information" (O'Grady: column 6, lines 30-48 and figure 3, wherein the coarse timing info is the result of the pixel frequency dividing by an integer m and the fine timing info is the program clock reference value base and extension). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the PCR generation specifics, i.e. the modulo counters, to obtain an apparatus that cost effectively encodes timing information into data blocks and help better synchronize that data.

Regarding claim 4, Fujii discloses "the clock signal frequency is 2.25n Mhz, where n is an integer" (Fujii: column 13, lines 26-27, wherein the clock signal frequency is 27 MHz which would correspond to an n integer value of 12).

Regarding claims 5-6, the specific values of the counters recited (12, 16, and 65536) would have been obvious variations in order to tweak the reference time so that the reference timing is closer to that of the TS program clock reference.

Regarding claim 7, Fujii discloses "a multiplexer for inserting the time information in the slots" (Fujii: column 1, lines 9-13).

Regarding claims 8 and 28, Fujii discloses "a means for inserting into the slot header a flag indicating whether the slot contains a first packet" (Fujii: column 2, lines 18-22, wherein the slot is the PES and the flag is the stream ID. Note, the stream ID indicates the element contents. If the stream ID contained no information, the PES would not contain a packet).

Regarding claim 27, O'Grady discloses that the "coarse and fine timing information are represented by separate words in the slot header" (O'Grady: column 1, lines 16-27, wherein the separate words are the set of flags that indicate the presence of optional fields such as PCR). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the separate words in the slot header in order to better distinguish between the coarse and fine timing information.

Regarding claim 29, Fujii discloses a slot or PES header that "includes data indicating packet type" (Fujii: column 2, lines 9-12).

Regarding claim 30, Fujii discloses "data indicating packet type indicates one or both of packet length" (Fujii: column 2, lines 9-12, wherein packet length is the PES packet length).

Regarding claims 31 and 33, Fujii discloses packets that "include error correction data" (Fujii: column 2, lines 18-22, wherein the error correction data is CRC).

Application/Control Number: 09/410,504

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claim 32, Fujii discloses a slot or PES header that "includes data indication whether or not the slot contains error correction data" (Fujii: column 2, lines 9-12, wherein the "data indicator" is contained in other pieces of information).

2. Claims 16-23 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujii et al. (5966385), (hereinafter referred to as "Fujii"), in view of Eidson (6278710) in further view of Lenihan et al. (6169843), (hereinafter referred to as "Lenihan") and O'Grady (6195392).

Regarding claims 16 and 17, note the examiners rejection for claims 1 and 25 and in addition Fujii discloses substantially the same encoder as above further including a decoder that has "means for detecting the timing information of the packets" (Fujii: column 2, lines 1-5). However claims 16 and 17 differ from claims 1 and 25 in that claims 16 and 17 further require Fujii clock setting means and comparing means and the coarse and fine timing information. Lenihan teaches that a decoder can remove or ignore any null packets in incoming transport streams such that only valid packets are made available (Lenihan: column 7, lines 25-28). Lenihan discloses an apparatus that can generate or set the a time at which the packet was received relative to a system time clock (Lenihan: column 7, lines 35-38) and compare and output the times when the times are equal to a certain value (Lenihan: column 12, lines 9-25). O'Grady teaches that current methods of generating PCR's contain expensive components. O'Grady discloses an apparatus that eliminates the need for these

expensive components presently necessary in the state of the art PCR generators (O'Grady: columns 1-2, lines 66-9). This apparatus comprises producing "coarse timing info" (O'Grady: column 6, lines 30-48, wherein the coarse timing info is the result of the pixel frequency dividing by an integer m) and "fine timing info" (wherein the fine timing info is the program clock reference value base and extension). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to take the apparatus disclosed by Fujii, add the timing information between packets taught by Eidson, add the decoder taught by Lenihan, and add the timing information taught by O'Grady in order to have the best control means possible over the transport streams.

Regarding claim 18, O'Grady discloses an apparatus that receives "a clock signal, a modulo n counter which counts the clock signal and divides the clock signal frequency by n to produce the fine time information and a modulo m counter which counts the frequency divided clock signal produced by the modulo n counter, to produce the coarse time information" (O'Grady: column 6, lines 30-48 and figure 3, wherein the coarse timing info is the result of the pixel frequency dividing by an integer m and the fine timing info is the program clock reference value base and extension). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the PCR generation specifics, i.e. the modulo counters, to obtain an apparatus that cost

effectively encodes timing information into data blocks and help better synchronize that data.

Regarding claim 19, Fujii discloses "the clock signal frequency is 2.25n Mhz, where n is an integer" (Fujii: column 13, lines 26-27, wherein the clock signal frequency is 27 MHz which would correspond to an n integer value of 12).

Regarding claims 20-21, the specific values of the counters recited (12, 16, and 65536) would have been obvious variations in order to tweak the reference time so that the reference timing is closer to that of the TS program clock reference.

Regarding claim 22, Fujii discloses "a decoder for use with a signal the slot header of which contains a flag indicating whether the slot contains a said first packet, the decoder comprising a demultiplexer for separating the flag and the packet, and means responsive to the flag for setting the clock to the reference time if the flag indicates a first packet" (Fujii: column 2, lines 5-12. column 12, lines 1-43, and figure 18 wherein the slot header is the PES header, the flag is the stream ID, the demultiplexer is the channel demultiplexer, and the means for setting the clock is carried out by the error flag delay circuit).

Regarding claim 23, Lenihan discloses that the "outputting means comprises a FIFO buffer" (Lenihan: figure 3A, items 316, 335, and 355). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the FIFO buffer in order to obtain a method for outputting the packets at a desired time.

Application/Control Number: 09/410,504 Page 10

Art Unit: 2613

3. Claims 9-15 and 35-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujii et al. (5966385), (hereinafter referred to as "Fujii") in view of Eidson (6278710) in further view of Hurst et al. (6141358), (hereinafter referred to as "Hurst").

Regarding claim 9, note the examiners rejection for claims 1 and 25 and in addition, claim 9 differs from claims 1 and 25 in that claim 9 further requires variable length data blocks. Hurst teaches that blocks of data do not need to be evenly distributed or of similar size in order to be transported within an SDTI system (Hurst: column 3, lines 62-64, wherein the chunks are the blocks of data). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to take the apparatus disclosed by Fujii, add the timing information between packets taught by Eidson, and add the variable length blocks taught by Hurst in order to obtain a more efficient transporting means.

Regarding claims 10 and 36, Fujii discloses "said slots are variable length slots" (Fujii: column 2, lines 5-7, wherein the slot is the PES packet and the variable length slot is the variable length packet).

Regarding claims 11 and 37, Fujii discloses "variable length slots that comprise slots containing metadata and slots containing data described by the metadata" (Fujii: column 2, lines 18-22 and figure 3B, wherein the metadata is the section header).

Regarding claims 12 and 38, note Fujii, figure 3B. The metadata slot "precedes the data slots containing the data described by the metadata" (wherein the metadata slot is the section header).

Page 11

Regarding claims 13 and 39, note Fujii figure 3B. The metadata slot "contains metadata identifying a succeeding slot which contains a said first packet" (wherein the metadata slot is the section header).

Regarding claim 14 and 40, Fujii discloses "variable length slots that comprise a data field, a type field containing data describing the type of data in the data field, and a length field defining the length of the data in the data field (Fujii: column 2, lines 5-24, wherein the data field is the PES packet, the type field is the PES header, and the length field is the time stamp information (PTS)).

Regarding claim 15, Hurst discloses a serial data transport (SDTI) bitstream data structure carrying an MPEG information sub-stream (Hurst: figure 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the SDTI to the encoder in order to allow the blocks to be placed on the high bitrate information stream.

Regarding claim 35, Hurst discloses data blocks are "variable length blocks" (Hurst: column 3, lines 62-64, wherein the variable length blocks are chunks that do not need to be similar in size). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the variable length data blocks in order to accommodate data of varying size.

Application/Control Number: 09/410,504 Page 12

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claim 41, Hurst discloses that "blocks and block headers conform to SDTI" (Hurst: column 8, lines 62-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to ensure the blocks conform to SDTI in order to allow the blocks to be placed on the high bitrate information stream.

Regarding claim 42, Hurst discloses that the "said packets are MPEG 2 TS packets" (Hurst: column 3, lines 40-41). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the packets MPEG2 TS packets in order to comply with the MPEG standards.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Czekaj whose telephone number is (703) 305-3418. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (703) 305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872 9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600